arXiv:1303.0068v1 [math.CV] 1 Mar 2013

INEQUALITIES FOR THE POLAR DERIVATIVE OF A POLYNOMIAL

N. A. RATHER AND SUHAIL GULZAR

Abstract. Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n and for any real or complex number α, let $D_\alpha P(z) = nP(z) + (\alpha - z)P'(z)$ denote the polar derivative with respect to α. In this paper, we obtain generalizations of some inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial.

1. Introduction and statement of results

Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n, then

$$\underset{|z|=1}{Max}\left|P'(z)\right| \le n\underset{|z|=1}{Max}\left|P(z)\right|. \tag{1.1}$$

Inequality (1.1) is an immediate consequence of S. Bernstein's Theorem on the derivative of a trigonometric polynomial (for reference, see [9, p.531], [10, p.508] or [11]) and the result is best possible with equality holding for the polynomial $P(z) = az^n$, $a \neq 0$.

If we restrict ourselves to the class of polynomials having no zero in $|z| < 1$, then inequality (1.1) can be replaced by

$$\underset{|z|=1}{Max}\left|P'(z)\right| \le \frac{n}{2}\underset{|z|=1}{Max}\left|P(z)\right|. \tag{1.2}$$

Inequality (1.2) was conjectured by Erdös and later verified by Lax [6]. The result is sharp and equality holds for $P(z) = az^n + b$, $|a| = |b|$.

For the class polynomials having all zeros in $|z| \le 1$, it was proved by Turán [12] that

$$\underset{|z|=1}{Max}\left|P'(z)\right| \ge \frac{n}{2}\underset{|z|=1}{Max}\left|P(z)\right|. \tag{1.3}$$

The inequality (1.3) is best possible and become equality for polynomial $P(z) = (z+1)^n$. As an extension of (1.2) and (1.3) Malik [7] proved that if $P(z) \neq 0$ in $|z| < k$ where $k \ge 1$, then

$$\underset{|z|=1}{Max}\left|P'(z)\right| \le \frac{n}{1+k}\underset{|z|=1}{Max}\left|P(z)\right|, \tag{1.4}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30A10, 30C10, 30E10.

Key words and phrases. Polynomials; Inequalities in the complex domain; Polar derivative; Bernstein's inequality.

where as if $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k$ where $k \leq 1$, then

$$\underset{|z|=1}{Max}|P'(z)| \geq \frac{n}{1+k}\underset{|z|=1}{Max}|P(z)|. \tag{1.5}$$

Let $D_\alpha P(z)$ denotes the polar derivative of the polynomial $P(z)$ of degree n with respect to the point α, then

$$D_\alpha P(z) = nP(z) + (\alpha - z)P'(z).$$

The polynomial $D_\alpha P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $n-1$ and it generalizes the ordinary derivative in the sense that

$$\underset{\alpha\to\infty}{Lim}\left[\frac{D_\alpha P(z)}{\alpha}\right] = P'(z).$$

Now corresponding to a given n^{th} degree polynomial $P(z)$, we construct a sequence of polar derivatives

$$D_{\alpha_1}P(z) = nP(z) + (\alpha_1 - z)P'(z) = P_1(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\alpha_s}D_{\alpha_{s-1}}\cdots D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z) = (n-s+1)&\left\{D_{\alpha_{s-1}}\cdots D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z)\right\} \\ &+ (\alpha_s - z)\left\{D_{\alpha_{s-1}}\cdots D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z)\right\}'. \end{aligned}$$

The points $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_s$, $s = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, may be equal or unequal complex numbers. The s^{th} polar derivative $D_{\alpha_s}D_{\alpha_{s-1}}\cdots D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z)$ of $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $n-s$. For

$$P_j(z) = D_{\alpha_j}D_{\alpha_{j-1}}\cdots D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &P_j(z) = (n-j+1)P_{j-1}(z) + (\alpha_j - z)P'_{j-1}(z), \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, s, \\ &P_0(z) = P(z). \end{aligned}$$

A. Aziz [2] extended the inequality (1.2) to the s^{th} polar derivative by proving if $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n not vanishing in $|z| < 1$, then for $|z| \geq 1$

$$|D_{\alpha_s}\cdots D_{\alpha_1}P(z)| \leq \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{2}\left\{|\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_s z^{n-s}| + 1\right\}\underset{|z|=1}{Max}|P(z)|, \tag{1.6}$$

where $|\alpha_j| \geq 1$, for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s$. The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomial $P(z) = (z^n + 1)/2$.

As a refinement of inequality (1.6), Aziz and Wali Mohammad [5] proved if $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n not vanishing in $|z| < 1$, then for $|z| \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{\alpha_s} \cdots D_{\alpha_1} P(z)| \leq \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{2} \Big\{ & \big(|\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_s z^{n-s}| + 1\big) \underset{|z|=1}{Max} |P(z)|, \\ & - \big(|\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_s z^{n-s}| - 1\big) \Big\} \underset{|z|=1}{Min} |P(z)| \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

where $|\alpha_j| \geq 1$, for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s$. The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomial $P(z) = (z^n + 1)/2$.

In this paper, we shall obtain several inequalities concerning the polar derivative of a polynomial and thereby obtain compact generalizations of inequalities (1.6) and (1.7).

We first prove following result from which certain interesting results follow as special cases.

Theorem 1.1. *If $F(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in the disk $|z| \leq k$ where $k \leq 1$, and $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n such that*

$$|P(z)| \leq |F(z)| \quad for \quad |z| = k,$$

then for $\alpha_j, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_j| \geq k, |\beta| \leq 1$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s$ and $|z| \geq 1$,

$$\left| z^s P_s(z) + \beta \frac{n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} P(z) \right| \leq \left| z^s F_s(z) + \beta \frac{n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} F(z) \right|, \tag{1.8}$$

where

$$n_s = n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1) \ \& \ \Lambda_s = (|\alpha_1| - k)(|\alpha_2| - k)\cdots(|\alpha_s| - k). \tag{1.9}$$

If we choose $F(z) = z^n M/k^n$, where $M = Max_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$ in Theorem 1.1, we get the following result.

Corollary 1.2. *If $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n, then for $\alpha_j, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_j| \geq k, |\beta| \leq 1$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s$ and $|z| \geq 1$,*

$$\left| z^s P_s(z) + \beta \frac{n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} P(z) \right| \leq \frac{n_s |z|^n}{k^n} \left| \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_s + \beta \frac{\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} \right| \underset{|z|=k}{Max} |P(z)|, \tag{1.10}$$

where n_s and Λ_s are given by (1.9).

If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_s = \alpha$, then dividing both sides of (1.10) by $|\alpha|^s$ and letting $|\alpha| \to \infty$, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.3. *If $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n then for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$, and $|z| \geq 1$,*

$$\left|z^sP^{(s)}(z)+\beta\frac{n_s}{(1+k)^s}P(z)\right| \leq \frac{n_s|z|^n}{k^n}\left|1+\frac{\beta}{(1+k)^s}\right| \underset{|z|=k}{Max}\left|P(z)\right|, \tag{1.11}$$

where n_s is given by (1.9).

Again, if we take $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_s = \alpha$, then divide both sides of (1.8) by $|\alpha|^s$ and letting $|\alpha| \to \infty$, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.4. *If $F(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in the disk $|z| \leq k$ where $k \leq 1$, and $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n such that*

$$|P(z)| \leq |F(z)| \quad for \quad |z| = k,$$

then for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$, and $|z| \geq 1$,

$$\left|z^sP^{(s)}(z)+\beta\frac{n_s}{(1+k)^s}P(z)\right| \leq \left|z^sF^{(s)}(z)+\beta\frac{n_s}{(1+k)^s}F(z)\right|, \tag{1.12}$$

where n_s is given by (1.9).

If we choose $P(z) = mz^n/k$, where $m = Min_{|z|=k}|F(z)|$, in Theorem 1.1 we get the following result.

Corollary 1.5. *If $F(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in the disk $|z| \leq k$ where $k \leq 1$, then for $\alpha_j, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_j| \geq k, |\beta| \leq 1$, where $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s$ and $|z| \geq 1$,*

$$\left|z^sF_s(z)+\beta\frac{n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}F(z)\right| \geq \frac{n_s|z|^n}{k^n}\left|\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s+\frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right| \underset{|z|=k}{Min}|F(z)|, \tag{1.13}$$

where n_s and Λ_s are given by (1.9).

Remark 1.6. For $\beta = 0$ and $k = 1$, we get the result due to Aziz and Wali Mohammad [5, Theorem 1].

Again, if we take $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_s = \alpha$, then divide both sides of (1.13) by $|\alpha|^s$ and letting $|\alpha| \to \infty$, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.7. *If $F(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in the disk $|z| \leq k$ where $k \leq 1$, then for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$, and $|z| \geq 1$,*

$$\left|z^sF^{(s)}(z)+\frac{\beta n_s}{(1+k)^s}F(z)\right| \geq \frac{n_s|z|^n}{k^n}\left|1+\frac{\beta}{(1+k)^s}\right| \underset{|z|=k}{Min}|F(z)|, \tag{1.14}$$

where n_s is given by (1.9).

For $s = 1$, and $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ in Theorem 1.1 we get the following result:

Corollary 1.8. *If $F(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in the disk $|z| \leq k$ where $k \leq 1$, and $P(z)$ is a polynomial n such that*

$$|P(z)| \leq |F(z)| \quad for \quad |z| = k,$$

then for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| \geq k, |\beta| \leq 1$, and $|z| \geq 1$,

$$\left| zD_\alpha P(z) + n\beta \left(\frac{|\alpha| - k}{k+1} \right) P(z) \right| \leq \left| zD_\alpha F(z) + n\beta \left(\frac{|\alpha| - k}{k+1} \right) F(z) \right|.$$

Theorem 1.9. *If $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n, which does not vanish in the disk $|z| < k$ where $k \leq 1$, then for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_s, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_1| \geq k, |\alpha_2| \geq k, \cdots, |\alpha_s| \geq k, |\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z| \geq 1$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| z^s P_s(z) + \beta \frac{n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} P(z) \right| \\ &\qquad \leq \frac{n_s}{2} \left\{ \frac{|z^n|}{k^n} \left| \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_s + \frac{\beta \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} \right| + \left| z^s + \frac{\beta \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} \right| \right\} \underset{|z|=k}{Max} |P(z)|, \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

where n_s and Λ_s are given by (1.9).

Remark 1.10. If we take $\beta = 0$ and $k = 1$, we get inequality (1.6).

We next prove the following refinement of Theorem 1.9.

Theorem 1.11. *If $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n and does not vanish in the disk $|z| < k$ where $k \leq 1$, then for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_s, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_1| \geq k, |\alpha_2| \geq k, \cdots, |\alpha_s| \geq k, |\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z| \geq 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| z^s P_s(z) + \beta \frac{n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} P(z) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{n_s}{2} \Bigg[\left\{ \frac{|z|^n}{k^n} \left| \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_s + \beta \frac{\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} \right| + \left| z^s + \beta \frac{\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} \right| \right\} \underset{|z|=k}{Max} |P(z)| \\ &\qquad - \left\{ \frac{|z|^n}{k^n} \left| \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_s + \beta \frac{\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} \right| - \left| z^s + \beta \frac{\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} \right| \right\} \underset{|z|=k}{Min} |P(z)| \Bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

where n_s and Λ_s are given by (1.9).

If we take $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_s = \alpha$, then divide both sides of (1.8) by $|\alpha|^s$ and letting $|\alpha| \to \infty$, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.12. *If* $P(z)$ *is a polynomial of degree* n, *which does not vanish in the disk* $|z|<k$ *where* $k\leq 1$, *then for* $\beta\in\mathbb{C}$ *with* $|\beta|\leq 1$ *and* $|z|\geq 1$ *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\left|z^sP^{(s)}(z)+\beta\frac{n_s}{(1+k)^s}P(z)\right|\leq&\frac{n_s}{2}\Bigg[\left\{\frac{|z|^n}{k^n}\left|1+\frac{\beta}{(1+k)^s}\right|+\left|\frac{\beta}{(1+k)^s}\right|\right\}\underset{|z|=k}{Max}|P(z)|\\&-\left\{\frac{|z|^n}{k^n}\left|1+\frac{\beta}{(1+k)^s}\right|-\left|\frac{\beta}{(1+k)^s}\right|\right\}\underset{|z|=k}{Min}|P(z)|\Bigg],\end{aligned}\tag{1.17}$$

where n_s *is given by* (1.9).

For $s=1$ in Corollary 1.12, we get the following result.

Corollary 1.13. *If* $P(z)$ *is a polynomial of degree* n, *which does not vanish in the disk* $|z|<k$ *where* $k\leq 1$, *then for* $\beta\in\mathbb{C}$ *with* $|\alpha|\geq k, |\beta|\leq 1$ *and* $|z|\geq 1$ *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\left|zP'(z)+\frac{n\beta}{1+k}P(z)\right|\leq&\frac{n}{2}\Bigg[\left\{\frac{|z|^n}{k^n}\left|1+\frac{\beta}{1+k}\right|+\left|\frac{\beta}{1+k}\right|\right\}\underset{|z|=k}{Max}|P(z)|\\&-\left\{\frac{|z|^n}{k^n}\left|1+\frac{\beta}{1+k}\right|-\left|\frac{\beta}{1+k}\right|\right\}\underset{|z|=k}{Min}|P(z)|\Bigg],\end{aligned}\tag{1.18}$$

For $\beta=0$, Theorem 1.11 reduces to the following result.

Corollary 1.14. *If* $P(z)$ *is a polynomial of degree* n, *which does not vanish in the disk* $|z|<k$ *where* $k\leq 1$, *then for* $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_s,\beta\in\mathbb{C}$ *with* $|\alpha_1|\geq k, |\alpha_2|\geq k,\cdots,|\alpha_s|\geq k, |\beta|\leq 1$ *and* $|z|\geq 1$ *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\big|P_s(z)\big|\leq\frac{n_s}{2}\Bigg[&\left\{\frac{|z|^{n-s}}{k^n}|\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s|+1\right\}\underset{|z|=k}{Max}|P(z)|\\&-\left\{\frac{|z|^{n-s}}{k^n}|\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s|-1\right\}\underset{|z|=k}{Min}|P(z)|\Bigg],\end{aligned}\tag{1.19}$$

where n_s *is given by* (1.9).

Remark 1.15. For $k=1$, inequality (1.19) reduces to (1.7).

If we take $\alpha_1=\alpha_2=\cdots=\alpha_s=\alpha$, then divide both sides of (1.8) by $|\alpha|^s$ and letting $|\alpha|\to\infty$, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.16. *If* $P(z)$ *is a polynomial of degree* n, *which does not vanish in the disk* $|z|<k$ *where* $k\leq 1$, *then for* $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_s,\beta\in\mathbb{C}$ *with* $|\alpha_1|\geq k, |\alpha_2|\geq k,\cdots,|\alpha_s|\geq k, |\beta|\leq 1$ *and* $|z|\geq 1$ *then*

$$\big|P^{(s)}(z)\big|\leq\frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-t+1)|z|^{n-s}}{2k^n}\left[\underset{|z|=k}{Max}|P(z)|-\underset{|z|=k}{Min}|P(z)|\right].\tag{1.20}$$

If $s = 1$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ then inequality (1.16) reduces to the following result.

Corollary 1.17. *If $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n, which does not vanish in the disk $|z| < k$ where $k \leq 1$, then for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| \geq k, |\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z| \geq 1$ then*

$$\begin{aligned}\left|zD_\alpha P(z) + n\beta\left(\frac{|\alpha|-k}{1+k}\right)P(z)\right| \\ \leq \frac{n}{2}\Bigg[\left\{\frac{1}{k^n}\left|\alpha+\beta\left(\frac{|\alpha|-k}{1+k}\right)\right| + \left|z^s+\beta\left(\frac{|\alpha|-k}{1+k}\right)\right|\right\}\underset{|z|=k}{Max}|P(z)| \\ -\left\{\frac{1}{k^n}\left|\alpha+\beta\left(\frac{|\alpha|-k}{1+k}\right)\right| - \left|z^s+\beta\left(\frac{|\alpha|-k}{1+k}\right)\right|\right\}\underset{|z|=k}{Min}|P(z)|\Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{1.21}$$

2. Lemmas

For the proof of Theorems, we need the following Lemmas. The first Lemma follows by repeated application of Laguerre's theorem [1] or[8, p. 52].

Lemma 2.1. *If all the zeros of nth degree polynomial lie in circular region C and if none of the points $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_s$ lie in circular region C, then each of the polar derivatives*

$$D_{\alpha_s}D_{\alpha_{s-1}}\cdots D_{\alpha_1}P(z) = P_s(z), \qquad s = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1 \tag{2.1}$$

has all its zeros in C.

The next Lemma is due to Aziz and Rather [3].

Lemma 2.2. *If $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n, having all zeros in the closed disk $|z| \leq k$, $k \leq 1$, then for every real or complex number α with $|\alpha| \geq k$ and $|z| = 1$, we have*

$$|D_\alpha P(z)| \geq n\left(\frac{|\alpha|-k}{1+k}\right)|P(z)|. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.3. *If $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$ is a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in $|z| \leq k$, $k \leq 1$ then*

$$\frac{1}{n}\left|\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n}\right| \leq k. \tag{2.3}$$

The above lemma follows by taking $\mu = 1$ in the result due to Aziz and Rather [4].

Lemma 2.4. *If $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n having all zeros in the disk $|z| \leq k$ where $k \leq 1$, then for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_1| \geq k, |\alpha_2| \geq k, \cdots, |\alpha_s| \geq k$, $(1 \leq s < n)$, and $|z| = 1$*

$$|P_s(z)| \geq \frac{n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}|P(z)|, \tag{2.4}$$

where n_s and Λ_s are defined in (1.9).

Proof. The result is trivial if $|\alpha_j| = k$ for at least one j where $j = 1, 2, \cdots, t$. Therefore, we assume $|\alpha_j| > k$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, t$. We shall prove Lemma by principle of mathematical induction. For $t = 1$ the result is follows by Lemma 2.2.

We assume that the result is true for $t = q$, which means that for $|z| = 1$, we have

$$|P_q(z)| \geq \frac{n_q A_{\alpha_q}}{(1+k)^q}|P(z)| \quad q \geq 1, \tag{2.5}$$

and we will prove that the Lemma is true for $t = q + 1$ also.

Since $D_{\alpha_1}P(z) = (na_n\alpha_1 + a_{n-1})z^{n-1} + \cdots + (na_0 + \alpha_1 a_1)$ and $|\alpha_1| > k$, $D_{\alpha_1}P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n - 1$. If this is not true, then

$$na_n\alpha_1 + a_{n-1} = 0,$$

which implies

$$|\alpha_1| = \frac{1}{n}\left|\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n}\right|.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$|\alpha_1| = \frac{1}{n}\left|\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n}\right| \leq k.$$

But this is the contradiction to the fact $|\alpha| > k$. Hence, $D_{\alpha_1}P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-1$ and by Lemma 2.1, $D_{\alpha_1}P(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k$. By the similar argument as before, $D_{\alpha_2}D_{\alpha_1}P(z)$ must be a polynomial of degree $n-2$ for $|\alpha_1| > k$, $|\alpha_2| > k$ and all its zeros in $|z| \leq k$. Continuing in this way, we conclude $D_{\alpha_q}D_{\alpha_{q-1}} \cdots D_{\alpha_1}P(z) = P_q(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n - q$ for all $|\alpha_j| > k$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, q$ and has all zeros in $|z| \leq k$. Applying Lemma 2.2 to $P_q(z)$, we get for $|\alpha_{q+1}| > k$,

$$|P_{q+1}(z)| = |D_{\alpha_{q+1}}P_q(z)| \geq \frac{(n-q)(|\alpha_{q+1}| - k)}{1+k}|P_q(z)| \quad \text{for} \quad |z| = 1. \tag{2.6}$$

Inequality (2.6) in conjunction with (2.5) gives for $|z| = 1$,

$$|P_{q+1}(z)| \geq \frac{n_{q+1}A_{\alpha_{q+1}}}{(1+k)^{q+1}}|P(z)|, \tag{2.7}$$

where $n_{q+1} = n(n-1)\cdots(n-q)$ and $A_{\alpha_{q+1}} = (|\alpha_1| - k)(|\alpha_2| - k)\cdots(|\alpha_{q+1}| - k)$.

This shows that the result is true for $s = q + 1$ also. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.4. □

Lemma 2.5. *If $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n, then for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_1| \geq k, |\alpha_2| \geq k, \cdots, |\alpha_s| \geq k$, $(1 \leq t < n)$, $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $|z| \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|z^sP_s(z) + \beta\frac{n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}P(z)\right| + k^n\left|z^sQ_s(z/k^2) + \beta\frac{n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}Q(z/k^2)\right| \\ &\leq n_s\left\{\frac{|z^n|}{k^n}\left|\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s + \frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right| + \left|z^s + \frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right|\right\}\underset{|z|=k}{Max}|P(z)|,\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where n_s *and* Λ_s *are defined in* (1.9) *and* $Q(z) = z^n\overline{P(1/\overline{z})}$.

Proof. Let $M = \underset{|z|=k}{Max}|P(z)|$. Therefore, for every λ with $|\lambda| > 1$, $|P(z)| < |\lambda M z^n/k^n|$ on $|z| = k$. By Rouche's theorem it follows that all the zeros of $F(z) = P(z) + \lambda M z^n/k^n$ lie in $|z| < k$. If $G(z) = z^n\overline{F(1/\overline{z})}$ then $|k^n G(z/k^2)| = |F(z)|$ for $|z| = k$ and hence for any δ with $|\delta| > 1$, the polynomial $H(z) = k^n G(z/k^2) + \delta F(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| < k$. By applying Lemma 2.4 to $H(z)$, we have for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_1| > k, |\alpha_2| > k, \cdots, |\alpha_s| > k$, $(1 \leq t < n)$,

$$|z^s H_s(z)| \geq \frac{n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}|H(z)| \quad \text{for} \quad |z| = 1.$$

Therefore, for any β with $|\beta| < 1$ and $|z| = 1$, we have

$$|z^s H_s(z)| > |\beta|\frac{n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}|H(z)|.$$

Since $|\alpha_j| > k$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s$ by Lemma 2.1 the polynomial $z^s H_s(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| < 1$ and by Rouche's theorem, the polynomial

$$T(z) = z^s H_s(z) + \beta\frac{n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}H(z)$$

has all its zeros in $|z| < 1$. Replacing $H(z)$ by $k^n G(z/k^2) + \delta F(z)$, we conclude that the polynomial

$$T(z) = k^n\left\{z^s G_s(z/k^2) + \frac{\beta n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}G(z/k^2)\right\} + \delta\left\{z^s F_s(z) + \frac{\beta n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}F(z)\right\}$$

has all its zero in $|z| < 1$. This gives for $|\beta| < 1$, $|\alpha_j| \geq k$, where $j = 1, 2, \cdots, t$ and $|z| \geq 1$.

$$k^n\left|z^s G_s(z/k^2) + \frac{\beta n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}G(z/k^2)\right| \leq \left|z^s F_s(z) + \frac{\beta n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}F(z)\right| \tag{2.9}$$

If inequality (2.9) is not true, then there is a point z_0 with $|z_0| \geq 1$ such that

$$k^n\left|z_0^s G_s(z_0/k^2) + \frac{\beta n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}G(z_0/k^2)\right| > \left|z_0^s F_s(z_0) + \frac{\beta n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}F(z_0)\right|$$

Since all the zeros of $F(z)$ lie in $|z| < k$, proceeding similarly as in case of $H(z)$, it follows that the polynomial $z^s F_s(z) + \frac{\beta n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}F(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| < 1$, and hence $z_0^s F_s(z_0) + \frac{\beta n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}F(z_0) \neq 0$. Now, choosing

$$\delta = -\frac{k^n\left\{z_0^s G_s(z_0/k^2) + \frac{\beta n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}G(z_0/k^2)\right\}}{z_0^s F_s(z_0) + \frac{\beta n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}F(z_0)}.$$

then δ is a well-defined real or complex number, with $|\delta| > 1$ and $T(z_0) = 0$, which contradicts the fact that $T(z)$ has all zeros in $|z| < 1$. Thus, (2.9) holds. Now, replacing $F(z)$ by $P(z) + \lambda M z^n/k^n$ and $G(z)$ by $Q(z) + \overline{\lambda} M/k^n$ in (2.9), we have for $|z| \geq 1$,

$$k^n\left|z^sQ_s(z/k^2) + \frac{\beta n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}Q(z/k^2) + \frac{\overline{\lambda} n_s}{k^n}\left\{z^s + \frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right\}M\right|$$

$$\leq\left|z^sP_s(z) + \frac{\beta n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}P(z) + \frac{\lambda n_s}{k^n}\left\{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s + \frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right\}Mz^n\right|. \tag{2.10}$$

Choosing the argument of λ in the right hand side of (2.10) such that

$$\left|z^sP_s(z) + \frac{\beta n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}P(z) + \frac{\lambda n_s}{k^n}\left\{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s + \frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right\}Mz^n\right|$$

$$=\left|\frac{\lambda n_s}{k^n}\left\{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s + \frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right\}Mz^n\right| - \left|z^sP_s(z) + \frac{\beta n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}P(z)\right|.$$

which is possible by Corollary 1.2, then for $|z| \geq 1$, we have

$$\left|z^sP_s(z) + \frac{\beta n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}P(z)\right| + k^n\left|z^sQ_s(z/k^2) + \frac{\beta n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}Q(z/k^2)\right|$$

$$\leq|\lambda|n_s\left[\frac{|z^n|}{k^n}\left|\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s + \frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right| + \left|z^s + \frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right|\right]M \tag{2.11}$$

Making $|\lambda| \to 1$ and using the continuity for $|\beta| = 1$ and $|\alpha_j| = k$, where $j = 1, 2, \cdots, t$, in (2.11), we get the inequality (2.8). □

3. **Proof of Theorems**

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By hypothesis $F(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n having all in zeros in the closed disk $|z| \leq k$ and $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n such that

$$|P(z)| \leq |F(z)| \quad \text{for} \quad |z| = k, \tag{3.1}$$

therefore, if $F(z)$ has a zero of multiplicity s at $z = ke^{i\theta_0}$, then $P(z)$ has a zero of multiplicity at least s at $z = ke^{i\theta_0}$. If $P(z)/F(z)$ is a constant, then inequality (3.1) is obvious. We now assume that $P(z)/F(z)$ is not a constant, so that by the maximum modulus principle, it follows that

$$|P(z)| < |F(z)| \text{ for } |z| > k\ .$$

Suppose $F(z)$ has m zeros on $|z| = k$ where $0 \leq m < n$, so that we can write

$$F(z) = F_1(z)F_2(z)$$

where $F_1(z)$ is a polynomial of degree m whose all zeros lie on $|z| = k$ and $F_2(z)$ is a polynomial of degree exactly $n - m$ having all its zeros in $|z| < k$. This implies with the help of inequality (3.1) that

$$P(z) = P_1(z)F_1(z)$$

where $P_1(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $n - m$. Again, from inequality (3.1), we have

$$|P_1(z)| \leq |F_2(z)| \;\; for \; |z| = k$$

where $F_2(z) \neq 0 \; for \; |z| = k$. Therefore for every real or complex number λ with $|\lambda| > 1$, a direct application of Rouche's theorem shows that the zeros of the polynomial $P_1(z) - \lambda F_2(z)$ of degree $n - m \geq 1$ lie in $|z| < k$ hence the polynomial

$$G(z) = F_1(z)\left(P_1(z) - \lambda F_2(z)\right) = P(z) - \lambda F(z)$$

has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k$. Therefore for $r > 1$, all the zeros of $G(rz)$ lie in $|z| \leq k/r < k$ By applying Lemma 2.4 to the polynomial $G(rz)$, then for $|z| = 1$, we have

$$|z^s G_s(rz)| \geq \frac{n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} |G(rz)|.$$

Equivalently for $|z| = 1$, we have

$$|z^s P_s(rz) - \lambda z^s F_s(rz)| \geq \frac{n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} |P(rz) - \lambda F(rz)|. \tag{3.2}$$

Therefore, we have for any β with $|\beta| < 1$ and $|z| = 1$,

$$|z^s P_s(rz) - \lambda z^s F_s(rz)| > \frac{n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} |\beta| |P(rz) - \lambda F(rz)|. \tag{3.3}$$

Since $|\alpha_j| > k$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, t$ by Rouche's theorem, the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} T(rz) &= \{z^s P_s(rz) - \lambda z^s F_s(rz)\} + \frac{n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} \beta \{P(rz) - \lambda F(rz)\} \\ &= z^s P_s(rz) + \frac{n_s \Lambda_s \beta}{(1+k)^s} P(rz) - \lambda \left\{ z^s F_s(rz) + \frac{n_s \Lambda_s \beta}{(1+k)^s} F(rz) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

has all zeros in $|z| < 1$. This implies for $|z| \geq 1$

$$|z^s P_s(rz) + \frac{n_s \Lambda_s \beta}{(1+k)^s} P(rz)| \leq |z^s F_s(rz) + \frac{n_s \Lambda_s \beta}{(1+k)^s} F(rz)| \tag{3.4}$$

If it is true, then we have for some z_0 with $|z_0| \geq k$,

$$|z_0^s P_s(rz_0) + \frac{n_s \Lambda_s \beta}{(1+k)^s} P(rz_0)| > |z_0^s F_s(rz_0) + \frac{n_s \Lambda_s \beta}{(1+k)^s} F(rz_0)| \tag{3.5}$$

Since $z_0^sP_s(rz_0)+\dfrac{n_s\Lambda_s\beta}{(1+k)^s}P(rz_0)\neq 0$, we can choose

$$\lambda=\frac{z_0^sF_s(rz_0)+\dfrac{n_s\Lambda_s\beta}{(1+k)^s}F(rz_0)}{z_0^sP_s(rz_0)+\dfrac{n_s\Lambda_s\beta}{(1+k)^s}P(rz_0)}$$

λ is well-defined real or complex number with $|\lambda|<1$ and with this choice of λ, and $T(rz_0)=0$ for $|z_0|\geq k$. But this is a contradiction to the fact that $T(rz)\neq 0$ for $|z|\geq 1$. Thus (3.4) holds. Letting $r\to 1$, in (3.4), we get the desired result. □

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let $Q(z)=z^n\overline{P(1/\overline{z})}$. Since $P(z)$ does not vanish in the disk $|z|<k,\ k\leq 1$, then the polynomial $Q(z/k^2)$ has all zeros in $|z|\leq k$. Applying Theorem 1.1 to $k^nQ(z/k^2)$ and noting that $|P(z)|=|k^nQ(z/k^2)|$ for $|z|=k$, we have for all $\alpha_j,\beta\in\mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_j|\geq 1$, $j=1,2,\cdots,t$, $|\beta|\leq 1$, and $|z|\geq 1$,

$$\left|z^sP_s(z)+\beta\frac{n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}P(z)\right|\leq k^n\left|z^sQ_s(z/k^2)+\beta\frac{n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}Q(z/k^2)\right|. \tag{3.6}$$

Inequality (3.6) in conjunction with Lemma 2.5 gives for all $\alpha_j,\beta\in\mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_j|\geq k$, $j=1,2,\cdots,t$ $|\beta|\leq 1$, and $|z|\geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}2&\left|z^sP_s(z)+\beta\frac{n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}P(z)\right|\\&\leq\left|z^sP_s(z)+\beta\frac{n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}P(z)\right|+k^n\left|z^sQ_s(z/k^2)+\beta\frac{n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}Q(z/k^2)\right|\\&\leq n_s\left\{\frac{|z^n|}{k^n}\left|\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s+\frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right|+\left|z^s+\frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right|\right\}\underset{|z|=k}{Max}|P(z)|,\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (1.15). □

Proof of Theorem 1.11. Let $m=Min_{|z|=k}|P(z)|$. If $P(z)$ has a zero on $|z|=k$, then $m=0$ and result follows from Theorem 1.9. Therefore, We assume that $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z|>k$ where $k\leq 1$ so that $m>0$. Now for every λ with $|\lambda|<1$, it follows by Rouche's theorem that $h(z)=P(z)-\lambda m$ does not vanish in $|z|<k$. Let $g(z)=z^n\overline{h(1/\overline{z})}=z^n\overline{P(1/\overline{z})}-\overline{\lambda}mz^n=Q(z)-\overline{\lambda}mz^n$ then, the polynomial $g(z/k^2)$ has all its zeros in $|z|\leq k$. As $|k^ng(z/k^2)|=|h(z)|$ for $|z|=k$, applying Theorem 1.1 to $k^ng(z/k^2)$, we get for $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_s,\beta\in\mathbb{C}$, with $|\alpha_j|\geq k$, $j=1,2,\cdots,t$ $|\beta|\leq 1$ and $|z|\geq 1$,

$$\left|z^sh_s(z)+\beta\frac{n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}h(z)\right|\leq k^n\left|z^sg_s(z/k^2)+\beta\frac{n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}g(z/k^2)\right|. \tag{3.7}$$

Equivalently for $|z|\geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|z^sP_s(z)+\frac{\beta n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}P(z)-\lambda n_s\left\{z^s+\frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right\}m\right|\\ &\leq k^n\left|z^sQ_s(z/k^2)+\frac{\beta n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}Q(z/k^2)-\frac{\overline{\lambda}n_s}{k^{2n}}\left\{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s+\frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right\}mz^n\right|.\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

Since $Q(z/k^2)$ has all its zeros in $|z|\leq k$ and $k^n\underset{|z|=k}{Min}|Q(z/k^2)|=\underset{|z|=k}{Min}|P(z)|$, by Corollary 1.5 applied to $Q(z/k^2)$, we have for $|z|\geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|z^sQ_s(z/k^2)+\beta\frac{n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}Q(z/k^2)\right|&\geq\frac{n_s}{k^n}\left|\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s+\frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right|\underset{|z|=k}{Min}|Q(z)|\\ &=\frac{n_s}{k^{2n}}\left|\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s+\frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right|\underset{|z|=k}{Min}|P(z)|\\ &=\frac{n_s}{k^{2n}}\left|\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s+\frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right|m.\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Now, choosing the argument of λ on the right hand side of inequality (3.8) such that

$$\begin{aligned}&k^n\left|z^sQ_s(z/k^2)+\frac{\beta n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}Q(z/k^2)-\frac{\overline{\lambda}n_s}{k^{2n}}\left\{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s+\frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right\}mz^n\right|\\ &=k^n\left|z^sQ_s(z/k^2)+\frac{\beta n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}Q(z/k^2)\right|-\frac{|\overline{\lambda}|n_s}{k^n}\left|\left\{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s+\frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right\}mz^n\right|,\end{aligned}$$

which is possible by inequality (3.9), we get for $|z|\geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|z^sP_s(z)+\frac{\beta n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}P(z)\right|-|\lambda|n_s\left|z^s+\frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right|m\\ &=k^n\left|z^sQ_s(z/k^2)+\frac{\beta n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}Q(z/k^2)\right|-\frac{|\lambda||z|^nn_s}{k^n}\left|\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s+\frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right|m,\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\lambda\to 1$, we have for $|z|\geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|z^sP_s(z)+\frac{\beta n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}P(z)\right|-k^n\left|z^sQ_s(z/k^2)+\frac{\beta n_s\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}Q(z/k^2)\right|\\ &\leq n_s\left\{\left|z^s+\frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right|-\frac{|z|^n}{k^n}\left|\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s+\frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right|\right\}m\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

Adding (2.8) and (3.10), we get for $|z| \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
2\left|z^s P_s(z) + \frac{\beta n_s \Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} P(z)\right| &\leq n_s \left[\left\{ \frac{|z^n|}{k^n} \left|\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s + \frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right| + \left|z^s + \frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right| \right\} \underset{|z|=k}{Max}|P(z)| \right. \\
&\left. + \left\{ \left|z^s + \frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s}\right| - \frac{|z|^n}{k^n} \left|\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_s + \frac{\beta\Lambda_s}{(1+k)^s} m z^n\right| \right\} m \right],
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (1.16). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.11. □

References

[1] A. Aziz, A new proof of Laguerre's theorem about the zeros of polynomials, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 33 (1986), 131-138.

[2] A. Aziz, Inequalities for the polar derivatives of a polynomial, *J. Approx. Theory,* 55 (1988) 183-193

[3] A. Aziz, N. A. Rather, A refinement of a theorem of Paul Turán concerning polynomials. *Math Ineq Appl.* 1, 231238 (1998).

[4] A. Aziz and N. A. Rather, Some Zygmund type L^q inequalities for polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 289 (2004) 14-29.

[5] A. Aziz and Wali Mohammad Shah, Inequalities for polar derivatives of polynomial, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.),* 107, 263-270.

[6] P. D. Lax, Proof of a conjecture of P.Erdös on the derivative of a polynomial, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.,* 50 (1944), 509-513

[7] M. A. Malik, On the derivative of a polynomial, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, Second Series 1 (1969), 57-60.

[8] M. Marden, *Geometry of Polynomials*, Math. Surveys, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1966.

[9] G. V. Milovanovic, D. S. Mitrinovic and Th. M. Rassias, *Topics in Polynomials: Extremal Properties, Inequalities*, Zeros, World scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, (1994)

[10] Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmessier, *Analytic theory of polynomials,* Claredon Press, Oxford, 2002.

[11] A. C. Schaffer, Inequalities of A. Markoff and S. Bernstein for polynomials and related functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.,* 47(1941), 565-579.

[12] P. Turán, Uber die Ableitung von Polynomen, *Compositio Mathematica* 7 (1939), 89-95 (German).

Department of Mathematics, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, Hazratbal 190006, India

E-mail address: `drnarather@gmail.com`

E-mail address: `sgmattoo@gmail.com`